Table X. Examples of the percentage of recycled individuals captured at each sample time in one simulation under scenario A (phi=0.9, p=0.9, lamda=0.2), B (phi=0.5, p=0.9, lambda=0.2), and C (phi=0.9, p=0.2, lambda=0.5) for a 10, 7, or 5 sample time study.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Sample time | | | | | | | | | |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: we could also do 5 different scenarios if that tells the story better. The point being do one that has a lot of recycled individuals, one that is mediocre and one that isn’t too bad. If we need to fill in gaps, do 2 more with appropriate parameter values. I think showing how this changes with number of sample times is also supportive, however we might want to remove the 7 sample time studies to keep things short.